

## Annex D:

With regard to the proposed restrictions I can't think of any better way of summing up my feelings and that of many residents than by copying the excellent objection submitted by a Tranby Avenue resident (copied below).

Since the chaos endured during the previous academic year when University related parking was intolerable along part of Tranby Avenue and Cavendish Grove leading to much inconvenience to council taxpaying residents and other highway users the situation appears to have changed somewhat.

Recently O'Neills on behalf of the University of York confirmed that on an average day there are 500 empty parking spaces on the University Campus and following constant pressure from residents and myself it appears that the University is encouraging students and staff to park where they should - on the campus.

The current situation on the streets where parking restrictions are proposed is virtually clear.

Therefore, I would suggest taking into account the points made in the attached objection that CYC look at dealing with this matter in a different way.

I note amongst the list of current CYC 'Temporary Parking Suspensions' that the one in Poppleton supposedly taking into account the Yorkshire Show (one week in July) is in operation for five months.

If it is possible to have such suspensions of parking in place for that length of time then I would like to see the use of temporary parking suspensions used on Tranby Av and Cavendish Grove to correspond with University of York term times, implementation and enforcement of which to take place upon request should there be a problem - which currently there isn't.

It needs to be remembered that previous problems on Tranby Av and Cavendish Grove were created by CYC Highway Regulation moving the problem from Badger Hill without dealing with the actual issue.

It should not be for council taxpaying residents to be inconvenienced in any way with regard to this problem and I would hope the use of temporary parking restrictions can be considered in response to any future problems, costs associated with such measures should be picked up by the University of York but if that is not acceptable then the Parish Council might be able to step up.

I look forward to CYC supporting such a trial.

---

Thank you for your comments on the proposed amendment to the parking restrictions on Tranby Avenue.

I can confirm that your comments and the forwarded correspondence will be included within the Report to the Executive Member for Transport.

The Order for the Temporary Parking Suspension in Poppleton for the Yorkshire Show is part of an Order which covers several events across the city, which is why there is an extended time period on the restriction.

The concern I would have with a Parking Suspension been put in place to manage the parking on the street is how and when the decision would be made to put it in place, if it was put in place to react to an increase in parking at a certain time there would not be any time to notify the residents. If this proposal would be taken forward it would require a full consideration of how such a process would operate and be managed.

---

Thank you for your reply and for explaining the situation around the Poppleton restrictions, my only query on that would be who has applied for and funded the restrictions?

I could envisage such restrictions being a solution to the Tranby Av/Cavendish Grove issue in that the temporary restrictions could be advertised and applied for during University term times which would give everyone chance to comment and notice of what could happen.

The restrictions to become operative to react to problem parking during term times should the need arise, if there is no need then the cones don't need to go out.

I really think CYC owe it to residents to seriously consider this suggestion because as I say again this situation is not of their making, if it could be used here then I am sure it could be used in other areas that suffer from University related parking, the legality of using such temporary restrictions has clearly been established with the Poppleton situation.

There are a large number of residents BCC'd in who may wish to comment.

---

The cost of the cones for the parking suspension is paid for by the Council, this has always been the case due to issue the parking of a large number of vehicles on Station Road, Poppleton has on vehicle movements through the area.

The proposal will be included within the report to the Executive Member for Transport to consider.

---

Any chance of an indication of those costs please.

Very much the same reasoning could be applied to the Tranby Av situation with regard to vehicle movements in the area.

---

The cost for the deployment of cones would be £250 on each occasion.

---

Thanks for the reply,

£250 a time to put out the cones seems reasonable to me, especially given that deployment once in the University year may well suffice in achieving the aim of removing University related parking from the area.

So if a Temporary Traffic Restriction was made for the period October 1st to May 31st flexibility within in that order would exist to put the cones for any periods it was felt they were needed?

The current situation would not necessitate deployment for example, should the parking situation deteriorate then for example if cones had to go out until the Christmas break then I'm sure Osbaldwick and Murton PCs would meet the cost of the cones being put out in the interests of their parishioners.

With a Temporary Traffic Restriction presumably the situation could and would be reviewed every year as it would need renewing? So clearly in the interests of residents who have not created this problem and the free passage of other road users this option ought to taken by CYC.

This type of approach could then be used in other situations and would demonstrate CYC's willingness to support council tax paying residents rather than just promoting revenue raising Respark schemes.

I look forward to the Exec. Member for Transport Decision Session when this matter is determined.